

Basic GO Usage

R. Gentleman

May 29, 2026

Introduction

In this vignette we describe some of the basic characteristics of the data available from the Gene Ontology (GO), (The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2000) and how these data have been incorporated into Bioconductor. We assume that readers are familiar with the basic DAG structure of GO and with the mappings of genes to GO terms that are provide by GOA (Camon et al., 2004). We consider these basic structures and properties quite briefly.

GO, itself, is a structured terminology. The ontology describes genes and gene products and is divided into three separate ontologies. One for cellular component (CC), one for molecular function (MF) and one for biological process (BP). We maintain those same distinctions were appropriate. The relationship between terms is a parent-child one, where the parents of any term are less specific than the child. The mapping in either direction can be one to many (so a child may have many parents and a parent may have many children). There is a single root node for all ontologies as well as separate root nodes for each of the three ontologies named above. These terms are structured as a directed acyclic graph (or a DAG).

GO itself is only the collection of terms; the descriptions of genes, gene products, what they do, where they do it and so on. But there is no direct association of genes to terms. The assignment of genes to terms is carried out by others, in particular the GOA project (Camon et al., 2004). It is this assignment that makes GO useful for data analysis and hence it is the combined relationship between the structure of the terms and the assignment of genes to terms that is the concern of the *GO.db* package.

The basis for child-parent relationships in GO can be either an *is-a* relationship, where the child term is a more specific version of the parent. Or, it can be a *has-a*, or *part-of* relationship where the child is a part of the parent. For example a telomere is a part-of a chromosome.

Genes are assigned to terms on the basis of their LocusLink ID. For this reason we make most of our mappings and functions work for LocusLink identifiers. Users of specific chips, or data with other gene identifiers should first map their identifiers to LocusLink before using *GOstats*.

A gene is mapped only to the most specific terms that are applicable to it (in each ontology). Then, all less specific terms are also applicable and they are easily obtained by traversing the set of parent relationships down to the root node. In practice many of these mappings are

precomputed and easily obtained from the different hash tables provided by the *GO.db* package.

Mapping of a gene to a term can be based on many different things. GO and GOA provide an extensive set of evidence codes, some of which are given in Table 1, but readers are referred to the GO web site and the documentation for the *GO.db* package for a more comprehensive listing. Clearly for some investigations one will want to exclude genes that were mapped according to some of the evidence codes.

IMP	inferred from mutant phenotype
IGI	inferred from genetic interaction
IPI	inferred from physical interaction
ISS	inferred from sequence similarity
IDA	inferred from direct assay
IEP	inferred from expression pattern
IEA	inferred from electronic annotation
TAS	traceable author statement
NAS	non-traceable author statement
ND	no biological data available
IC	inferred by curator

Table 1: GO Evidence Codes

In some sense TAS is probably the most reliable of the mappings. IEA is a weak association and is based on electronic information, no human curator has examined or confirmed this association. As we shall see later, IEA is also the most common evidence code.

The sets of mappings of interest are roughly divided into three parts. First there is the basic description of the terms etc., these are provided in the `GOTERMS` hash table. Each element of this hash table is named using its GO identifier (these are all of the form `GO:` followed by seven digits). Each element is an instance of the `GOTerms` class. A complete description of this class can be obtained from the appropriate manual page (use `class?GOTerms`). From these data we can find the text string describing the term, which ontology it is in as well as some other basic information.

There are also a set of hash tables that contain the information about parents and children. They are provided as hash tables (the `XX` in the names below should be substituted for one of `BP`, `MF`, or `CC`).

- `GOXXPARENTS`: the parents of the term
- `GOXXANCESTOR`: the parents, and all their parents and so on.
- `GOXXCHILDREN`: the children of the term
- `GOXXOFFSPRING`: the children, their children and so on out to the leaves of the GO graph.

For the GOXXPARENTS mappings (only) information about the nature of the relationship is included.

> *GOTERM\$"GO:0003700"*

GOID: GO:0003700

Term: DNA-binding transcription factor activity

Ontology: MF

Definition: A transcription regulator activity that modulates transcription of gene sets via selective and non-covalent binding to a specific double-stranded genomic DNA sequence (sometimes referred to as a motif) within a cis-regulatory region. Regulatory regions include promoters (proximal and distal) and enhancers. Genes are transcriptional units, and include bacterial operons.

Synonym: GO:0000130

Synonym: GO:0001071

Synonym: GO:0001130

Synonym: GO:0001131

Synonym: GO:0001151

Synonym: GO:0001199

Synonym: GO:0001204

Synonym: DNA binding transcription factor activity

Synonym: gene-specific transcription factor activity

Synonym: sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity

Synonym: nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity

Synonym: transcription factor activity

Synonym: bacterial-type DNA binding transcription factor activity

Synonym: bacterial-type RNA polymerase core promoter proximal region sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity

Synonym: bacterial-type RNA polymerase transcription enhancer

sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity

Synonym: bacterial-type RNA polymerase transcription factor activity, metal ion regulated sequence-specific DNA binding

Synonym: bacterial-type RNA polymerase transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding

Synonym: metal ion regulated sequence-specific DNA binding

bacterial-type RNA polymerase transcription factor activity

Synonym: metal ion regulated sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity

Synonym: sequence-specific DNA binding bacterial-type RNA polymerase transcription factor activity

Synonym: transcription factor activity, bacterial-type RNA polymerase core promoter proximal region sequence-specific binding

Synonym: transcription factor activity, bacterial-type RNA polymerase proximal promoter sequence-specific DNA binding
 Synonym: transcription factor activity, bacterial-type RNA polymerase transcription enhancer sequence-specific binding
 Synonym: transcription factor activity, metal ion regulated sequence-specific DNA binding
 Secondary: GO:0000130
 Secondary: GO:0001071
 Secondary: GO:0001130
 Secondary: GO:0001131
 Secondary: GO:0001151
 Secondary: GO:0001199
 Secondary: GO:0001204

```
> GOMFPARENTS$"GO:0003700"
```

```
      isa
"GO:0140110"
```

```
> GOMFCHILDREN$"GO:0003700"
```

```
      isa          isa          isa          isa          isa
"GO:0000981" "GO:0001216" "GO:0001217" "GO:0016987" "GO:0098531"
```

```
>
```

Here we see that the term GO:0003700 has two parents, that the relationships are *is-a* and that it has one child. One can then follow this chains of relationships or use the ANCESTOR and OFFSPRING hash tables to get more information.

The mappings of genes to GO terms is not contained in the GO package. Rather these mappings are held in each of the chip and organism specific data packages, such as `hgu95av2GO` and `org.Hs.egGO` are contained within packages `hgu95av2.db` and `org.Hs.eg.db` respectively. These mappings are from a Entrez Gene ID to the most specific applicable GO terms. Each such entry is a list of lists where the innermost list has these names:

- GOID: the GO identifier
- Evidence: the evidence code for the assignment
- Ontology: the ontology the GO identifier belongs to (one of BP, MF, or CC).

Some genes are mapped to a GO identifier based on two or more evidence codes. Currently these appear as separate entries. So you may want to remove duplicate entries if you are not interested in evidence codes. However, as more sophisticated use is made of these data it will be important to be able to separate out mappings according to specific evidence codes.

In this next example we consider the gene with Entrez Gene ID 4121, this corresponds to Affymetrix ID 39613_at.

```

> l11 = hgu95av2GO[["39613_at"]]
> length(l11)

[1] 29

> sapply(l11, function(x) x$Ontology)

GO:0005975 GO:0036503 GO:0036503 GO:0045047 GO:1904381 GO:1904381 GO:1904381
      "BP"      "BP"      "BP"      "BP"      "BP"      "BP"      "BP"
GO:0000139 GO:0000139 GO:0000139 GO:0005783 GO:0005783 GO:0005793 GO:0005793
      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"
GO:0016020 GO:0016020 GO:0016020 GO:0031410 GO:0070062 GO:0004571 GO:0004571
      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "CC"      "MF"
GO:0004571 GO:0004571 GO:0005509 GO:0005509 GO:0005515 GO:0015923 GO:0016798
      "MF"      "MF"      "MF"      "MF"      "MF"      "MF"      "MF"
GO:0016798
      "MF"

>

```

We see that there are 29 different mappings. We can get only those mappings for the BP ontology by using `getOntology`. We can get the evidence codes using `getEvidence` and we can drop those codes we do not wish to use by using `dropECode`.

```

> getOntology(l11, "BP")

[1] "GO:0005975" "GO:0036503" "GO:0045047" "GO:1904381" "GO:1904382"

> getEvidence(l11)

GO:0005975 GO:0036503 GO:0036503 GO:0045047 GO:1904381 GO:1904381 GO:1904381
      "IEA"      "IBA"      "IDA"      "IMP"      "IEA"      "TAS"      "IDA"
GO:0000139 GO:0000139 GO:0000139 GO:0005783 GO:0005783 GO:0005793 GO:0005793
      "IBA"      "IEA"      "TAS"      "IBA"      "TAS"      "IDA"      "IDA"
GO:0016020 GO:0016020 GO:0016020 GO:0031410 GO:0070062 GO:0004571 GO:0004571
      "HDA"      "IEA"      "TAS"      "IDA"      "HDA"      "IBA"      "IEA"
GO:0004571 GO:0004571 GO:0005509 GO:0005509 GO:0005515 GO:0015923 GO:0016798
      "IMP"      "TAS"      "IEA"      "TAS"      "IPI"      "TAS"      "IEA"
GO:0016798
      "IEA"

> zz = dropECode(l11)
> getEvidence(zz)

```

```

GO:0036503 GO:0036503 GO:0045047 GO:1904381 GO:1904382 GO:0000139 GO:0000139
  "IBA"      "IDA"      "IMP"      "TAS"      "IDA"      "IBA"      "TAS"
GO:0005783 GO:0005783 GO:0005793 GO:0005794 GO:0016020 GO:0016020 GO:0031424
  "IBA"      "TAS"      "IDA"      "IDA"      "HDA"      "TAS"      "IDA"
GO:0070062 GO:0004571 GO:0004571 GO:0004571 GO:0005509 GO:0005515 GO:0015974
  "HDA"      "IBA"      "IMP"      "TAS"      "TAS"      "IPI"      "TAS"

```

>

A Basic Description of GO

We now characterize GO and some of its properties. First we list some of the specific GO IDs that might be of interest (please feel free to propose even more).

- GO:0003673 is the GO root.
- GO:0003674 is the MF root.
- GO:0005575 is the CC root.
- GO:0008150 is the BP root.
- GO:0000004 is biological process unknown
- GO:0005554 is molecular function unknown
- GO:0008372 is cellular component unknown

We can find out how many terms are in each of the different ontologies by:

```

> zz = Ontology(GOTERM)
> table(unlist(zz))

      BP      CC      MF universal
24547  4069  10123           1

```

>

Or we can ask about the number of is-a and partof relationships in each of the three different ontologies.

```

> BPisa = eapply(GOBPPARENTS, function(x) names(x))
> table(unlist(BPisa))

```

```

                isa negatively regulates      part of
                41725                        2507      4493
positively regulates      regulates
                2506                        2867

```

```

> MFisa = eapply(GOMFPARENTS, function(x) names(x))
> table(unlist(MFisa))

```

```

    isa part of
12413      8

```

```

> CCisa = eapply(GOCCPARENTS, function(x) names(x))
> table(unlist(CCisa))

```

```

    isa part of
4664    1824

```

```

>

```

Working with GO

Finding terms that have specific character strings in them is easily accomplished using `grep`. In the next example we first convert the data from `GOTERM` into a character vector to make it easier to do multiple searches.

```

> goterms = unlist(Term(GOTERM))
> whmf = grep("fertilization", goterms)

```

So we see that there are 14 terms with the string “fertilization” in them in the ontology. They can be accessed by subsetting the `goterms` object.

```

> goterms[whmf]

```

```

"fusion of sperm to egg plasma membrane inv

```

```

"double fertilization f

```

```

"double fert

```

```

"respi
"negative
"fusion of sperm to egg plasma membrane involved in double fertilization fo
"regulation of double fertilization fo
"male-female gamete recognition during double fertilization fo
"prevention of polyspermy
"positive
>

```

Working with chip specific meta-data

In some cases users will want to restrict their attention to the set of terms etc that map to genes that were assayed in the experiments that they are working with. To do this you should first get the appropriate chip specific meta-data file. Here we demonstrate some of the examples on the Affymetrix HGU95av2 chips and so use the package *hgu95av2.db*. Each of these packages has a data environment whose name is the basename of the package with a GO suffix, so in this case *hgu95av2GO*. Note that if there are many manufacturer ids that map to the same Entrez Gene identifier then these will be duplicate entries (with different keys).

We can get all the MF terms for our Affymetrix data.

```

> affyGO = eapply(hgu95av2GO, getOntology)
> table(sapply(affyGO, length))

```

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1324	779	974	1167	1248	1149	970	863	895	753	505	433	394	244	164
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
135	101	69	49	50	31	22	18	17	21	15	12	8	3	9
32	33	34	35	36	37	40	41	44						
3	1	7	2	3	2	4	2	2						

```

>

```

How many of these probes have multiple GO terms associated with them? What do we do if we want to compare two genes that have multiple GO terms associated with them?

What about evidence codes? To find these we apply a similar function to the affyGO terms.

```
> affyEv = eapply(hgu95av2GO, getEvidence)
> table(unlist(affyEv, use.names=FALSE))
```

EXP	HDA	HEP	HMP	HTP	IBA	IC	IDA	IEA	IEP	IGI	IMP	IPI	ISM	ISO	ISS	NAS	ND	RCA	TAS
934	6976	54	113	617	49777	1348	75800	140566	1099	2217	23167	17332	1	3	27905	13523	70	156	35413

>

```
> test1 = eapply(hgu95av2GO, dropECode, c("IEA", "NR"))
> table(unlist(sapply(test1, getEvidence),
+           use.names=FALSE))
```

EXP	HDA	HEP	HMP	HTP	IBA	IC	IDA	IEP	IGI	IMP	IPI	ISM	ISO	ISS	NAS	ND	RCA	TAS
934	6976	54	113	617	49777	1348	75800	1099	2217	23167	17332	1	3	27905	13523	70	156	35413

These functions make it somewhat straightforward to select subsets of the GO terms that are specific to different evidence codes.

References

E. Camon, M. Magrane, D. Barrell, V. Lee, E. Dimmer, D. Binns, J. Maslen, N. Harte, R. Lopez, and R. Apweiler. The Gene Ontology annotation (GOA) database: sharing knowledge in Uniprot with Gene Ontology. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32:D262–D266, 2004.

The Gene Ontology Consortium. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nature Genetics*, 25:25–29, 2000.